of the orders on the table of the House, consideration of which was promised by the Hon. the Minister in supplementary question to question No. 146?

A.—A copy of G.O. No. 1996, Law (General), dated the 12th June 1935, is placed on the table of the House.[a]

Reduction of the fees of Sub-Registrars for attendance at the residence of parties.

* 279 *Q.*—Mr. M. S. Sreshta: Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) what amount was recovered by the Government during each of the last three years from fees charged for the attendance of Sub-Registrars at the residences of parties;

(*b*) whether my suggestion made in the Legislative Council on 12th March 1935 for a reduction of their fees has been considered by the Government; and

(*c*) if so, what decision has been arrived at?

A.—(*a*) The amount realized is as shown below:—

Year.	Amount.
	RS.
1932	73,760
1933	70,750
1934	70,717

(*b*) & (*c*) The suggestion is under consideration.

Promotion of clerks in Anantapur district to the cadre of Sub-Registrars.

* 280 *Q.*—Mr. G. Rameswara Rao: Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) how many clerks in Anantapur district are fully qualified for being placed in the list of persons eligible for Sub-Registrar's appointments;

(*b*) whether all of them are placed on the list; and

(*c*) how many from the Ceded districts have actually been appointed to the post of Sub-Registrars in 1934–35?

A.—(*a*) Eight—including one unpassed clerk.

(The number represents the clerks in the two revenue districts of Bellary and Anantapur, which form one registration district. As they are interchangeable, clerks of both the revenue districts have been taken into account.)

[a] Printed as Appendix II on page 220 infra.